- licenses, additional stations in Allen, Texas to accommodate
- 2 the demands that you expected to incur because of this big
- 3 customer that you were talking to; is that correct?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q And you did not think that you were able to obtain
- 6 additional license in your name or in Patricia's name?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q You had been told by PCIA and John Black that you
- 9 could not obtain additional licenses?
- 10 A That's correct.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: In your name or Patricia's name?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, in my name or Patricia's name;
- 13 yes,
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: Or Metroplex Two Way either because
- 16 we had done that before.
- 17 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 18 Q Let's go back to Exhibit 19, 4(b), numerical 4(b).
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: That was paragraph (b) that you
- 20 were just reading off of.
- THE WITNESS: Oh.
- MS. LANCASTER: That you just read.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: If you need to review it again,
- 24 feel free to do so.
- THE WITNESS: All right, Your Honor.

BY MS. LANCASTER: 2 3 0 When you approached the Sumpters and Ms. Hill, did you review all of the criteria that you have listed in 4(b) 4 5 with them to make a determination whether or not they would agree to fulfill the duties of a Commission licensee? 6 7 You see the little, where you have got the little (i) in parentheses? 8 Yes, ma'am. 9 Α And you've got the (ii) and the (iii)? 10 0 Yes, ma'am. Α 11 12 Did you ask them if they were going to be willing to participate in funding of the construction of the 13

(Witness reviews document.)

- 15 A I do not -- I don't remember.
- 16 Q Did you ask them if they were going to be willing
- 17 to fund the cost of operation?
- 18 A At the time they filed, I do not -- I do not
- 19 remember that, Judy.

facilities?

20 Q Okay.

1

14

- JUDGE STEINBERG: You don't remember doing it or
- you don't remember if you did it?
- THE WITNESS: I don't remember doing it.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Did you ask them if they would be willing to

- 1 actively participate in sales of service and equipment to be
- provided to the customers?
- 3 A Yes. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q Okay. You recall asking them that?
- 5 A Yes. Mm-hmm.
- 6 Q How did you ask that?
- 7 A That they had the opportunity and with their
- 8 connections to sell equipment and be placed on the system.
- 9 They also could be placed on the system theirselves.
- 10 Q Okay. And you said in order for you to
- 11 participate, I need you to tell me that you will agree to do
- 12 that?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q You did not make that a condition of them being an
- 15 applicant?
- 16 A That they had the opportunity to.
- 17 Q Okay. Did they indicate to you that they wanted
- 18 to do that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And you talked to who about that?
- 21 A Susan Lutz and the Sumpters.
- 22 Q All of the Sumpter?
- A No, most of the conversation went through Norma
- 24 and Jim.
- Q Okay. Did you have any conversations about any of

- these factors that you have enumerated in 4(b)? Did you
- 2 have any conversations about those factors with Melissa?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Did you have any conversations about those factors
- 5 with Jennifer?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q You did have conversations with Jim about those
- 8 factors?
- 9 A Jim and Norma.
- 10 Q Okay. In paragraph No. 6, which is on the next
- 11 page, would you just read that first?
- 12 (Witness reviews document.)
- 13 THE WITNESS: All right, Judy.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Okay. Did you have management agreements with O.
- 16 C. regarding the licenses that were in his name?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q Okay. Was that a -- how did that originate?
- 19 Originally what kind of management agreement did you make?
- 20 A Originally, it was verbal, and then it became a
- 21 contract.
- Q Okay. How about with Ruth, did you have a
- 23 management agreement with Ruth?
- 24 A Up until Net Wave petition, I assumed there was no
- 25 station or license issued to her because I did -- I tried to

- 1 cancel that license.
- 2 Q Did you ever manage the license that was
- 3 previously in Ruth's name, the KCG license that we discussed
- 4 yesterday?
- 5 A The 800 license?
- 6 Q Yes.
- 7 A It was on a tower, and no, we did not manage it.
- 8 We repaired it if there was ever a repair, but we did not
- 9 manage that license. We did not sell or do anything with
- 10 it.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A It was really her -- her business license.
- 13 Q Did Ruth do anything to maintain that license, the
- 14 prior license?
- 15 A I have no -- we never go out and had to repair it.
- 16 We never was called.
- 17 Q Okay, did you install the equipment?
- 18 A No, it was installed before it -- this was some
- 19 time in -- well, the answer to your question, no, we did
- 20 not.
- 21 Q Okay. And I understand your answer to be
- regarding the final license that Ruth had, the one that we
- are discussing in this hearing, that there was no management
- 24 agreement because you didn't think that there was a license?
- A That's correct. And -- that's correct.

- 1 Q Okay. In the third paragraph of No. 6 of Exhibit
- 2 19, I'm going to read the first sentence of that paragraph.
- 3 It says, "All other licensees participating in management
- 4 agreements with DLB have provided substantial direction and
- 5 supervision regarding the operation of the subject
- 6 facilities."
- 7 Tell me do you mean -- who do you mean to include?
- 8 Who are the other licensees that you are including in that?
- 9 A That's David, and of course, whatever license that
- 10 we have, Susan Lutz was at the front desk. She seen and
- provided a lot of this information about loadings and how
- they were loaded herself; she seen those and prepared them.
- 13 Q Is it your -- I'm sorry?
- 14 A And prepared some of the documents.
- 15 Q Did she ever direct you on how she wanted her
- 16 station handled?
- 17 A Her individual station itself?
- 18 O Yes.
- 19 A She just knew it was in the configuration, but she
- 20 knew what was going on at all of those stations.
- 21 Q Did she ever direct you to do anything pertaining
- 22 to that station?
- 23 A No.
- Q Did she ever supervise any activities pertaining
- 25 to that station?

- 1 A Any activities? Yes, I would say she did.
- A At times she would notify the service department
- 4 that stations in Allen or wherever was malfunctioning. She
- 5 would also cover that with the sales manager and the service
- 6 manager.
- 7 Q Did she know which specific station went with her
- 8 license?
- 9 A Whatever the call sign was, you know, her call
- 10 sign, I know she knew that cause she kept all the
- 11 documentation herself. But you know, if call signs,
- 12 something went down, she would know what frequency went
- down, and that's on her license, and it's also on the
- 14 customer's records, which she maintained.
- 15 Q Okay. She knew which repeater went with her
- 16 particular call sign, for example?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 O Okay.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Was that part of her regular
- 20 duties to do this?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: For other licensees, not only
- 23 her?
- THE WITNESS: For the entire company, yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: So she wasn't doing anything

- 1 more with respect to her license than she was doing with
- 2 respect to everybody else's license?
- 3 THE WITNESS: I would not know that because --
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: In your opinion?
- 5 THE WITNESS: In my opinion.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: We can ask her.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 8 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 9 Q And I believe you already --
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: I mean, Ms. Lutz, she was at the
- 11 front desk or something?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And if there was a problem with
- 14 a repeater that was licensed to Jim Sumpter, or a radio or
- whatever, I don't know what these things are, and a problem
- 16 was reported to her or she found out about the problem,
- would she be able to get away with saying, "That's Jim
- 18 Sumpter's station, let Jim take care of it"?
- 19 THE WITNESS: She would not have done that.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. What would she have done?
- 21 THE WITNESS: She would notify our service
- department manager, and then he would dispatch somebody to
- take a look at the repeater or test out the system.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And the same thing with
- 25 Melissa's license; is that right?

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And the same thing with Norma's,
- 3 she would do the same thing with Norma?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, she --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: She would the same thing with
- 6 Jennifer Hill?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: And she would do the same thing
- 9 with David's?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And she would do the same thing
- 12 with yours?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: And she would do the same thing
- with Carolyn Lutz's facility?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Hers. Yes, sir.
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 19 Q In that last paragraph that I just started reading
- to you did you include O. C. when you're talking about the
- 21 other licensees that participated in the supervision and
- 22 direction of their stations?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So it's your testimony that O. C. provided
- 25 substantial direction and supervision regarding his station?

1	A Under in my father's and mother's property, I
2	think we furnished to you the power of attorney that was
3	granted to me and through the will, and I also put on the
4	license, a response to FCC, I put O. C. Brasher/Ronald
5	Brasher with the power of attorney and the license, that
6	went to me. I mean, the the repair and information just
7	like this would have been my authority or my responsibility
8	Q I have not seen a power of attorney, Mr. Brasher.
9	Are you referring to the probate court records that were
L 0	furnished to us, which would be Exhibit 7?
l1	A Exhibit 7.
12	Q Look at Exhibit 7.
13	(Witness reviews document.)
14	THE WITNESS: All right, Judy.
15	BY MS. LANCASTER:
16	Q Is that what you are talking about when you are
17	saying power of attorney?
18	A Could I answer it this way?
L9	You know, this is this is legal documentation.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: You're referring to Exhibit 7?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: When you say "this"?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, Exhibit 7.
24	MS. LANCASTER: Mm-hmm?
:5	THE WITNESS: My knowledge of attorney and legal
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

- things is extremely limited, and if it would be permissible
- 2 to have an attorney explain.
- 3 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 4 Q No, sir. I need to know what you thought that is?
- 5 A I thought that this gives me the legal rights to
- 6 handle and do what is necessary for Ruth and O. C. Brasher's
- 7 property.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: And when you say "this," you are
- referring to the documents contained in Exhibit 7, or are
- 11 you talking about a specific document?
- MR. ROMNEY: Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me see what Mr. Brasher is
- looking at. Mr. Brasher is looking at Exhibit 7, page 6.
- 15 MR. ROMNEY: If I could insert here, and I don't
- mean to be out of order, but we have a 1992 durable power of
- 17 attorney that is probably the more accurate document. And I
- don't know if this was ever given the FCC yet. This is from
- 19 his attorney's office in 1992. I've got copies here.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- MR. ROMNEY: As far as my assertion of what under
- Texas rules, my understanding of that Exhibit No. 7, that
- that may have had some limited guardianship application to
- 24 it. This, however, has a durable power of attorney for
- 25 financial matters for Oscar Colquitt Brasher.

- I understand, from my review of this document,
- 2 that this would be the operative document that Mr. Brasher
- may or may not have recalled. I certainly don't think Mr.
- 4 Brasher has the knowledge of what an attorney would have as
- 5 to the operative documents.
- I'm more than happy to share these copies with the
- 7 Court, give copies to counsel. I apologize if there is an
- 8 inconvenience. It came to us in the last couple of days or
- 9 so, or I'm not sure exactly when this thing came to light;
- 10 maybe --
- MR. SCHWANINGER: The last couple of weeks.
- 12 MR. ROMNEY: -- because we didn't -- reviewing
- 13 that document, Exhibit 7, that doesn't do much for me as far
- 14 as that power goes.
- 15 If I could approach the Court --
- MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I would object. We
- 17 have not been served with this document. We have done
- depositions. There has never been any comment whatsoever
- 19 about this document. We've asked for all discovery. This
- 20 has certainly been an issue from the very beginning in this
- case, and there has never been any attempt to introduce any
- 22 evidence regarding any other documents aside from what is in
- Exhibit 7. And I object to suddenly now coming up with a
- 24 new document out of the blue.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, let's hand it out and then

- 1 see where we go from there.
- Thank you, and then why don't you give the witness
- 3 one.
- 4 (Pause.)
- 5 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Can we go off the record for
- 6 a second?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, we can.
- 8 (Discussion off the record.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, we're back on the record.
- Now, the document that Mr. Romney just handed out,
- 11 which one of the three attorneys knows the most about this?
- MR. ROMNEY: I know what it is from Texas law
- 13 standpoint.
- 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: No, I mean, I'm talking about
- 15 how --
- MR. ROMNEY: Where this came from?
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: About how this came to be -- I
- 18 mean, I think I heard Mr. Schwaninger say you had it for
- 19 about two weeks?
- MR. SCHWANINGER: I don't know exactly when it
- 21 arrived, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, how did arrive?
- 23 MR. SCHWANINGER: It arrived from Mr. Brasher.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: In the mail?
- MR. SCHWANINGER: Yes.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did Mr. Hicks know anything
- 2 about this?
- MR. SCHWANINGER: I'm not aware of whether or not
- 4 Mr. Hicks knew exactly when it came in as well.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Does the office keep a record,
- 6 like a rubber stamp, showing when it was received?
- 7 MR. SCHWANINGER: Not on this particular document.
- 8 There was no receive stamp on this particular document.
- 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you have the envelope with
- 10 the postmark on it?
- MR. SCHWANINGER: I think I've got a sheet of
- 12 paper someplace. I'd have to check back in my office, Your
- 13 Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I would appreciate it if
- you would check because I think I heard you saying you've
- 16 had it for about two weeks.
- 17 MR. SCHWANINGER: I'm just -- I was just
- 18 estimating because, quite frankly, I was not made aware of
- 19 this document myself until about two days ago when I was
- 20 shuffling through some things, and I saw it there.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why didn't you immediately turn
- 22 it over to the Bureau?
- MR. SCHWANINGER: I didn't -- this was not
- intended for any purpose or surprise. Quite frankly, I
- 25 thought at the time that the Bureau had received it. I had

- 1 thought it had gone into my office, been duplicated and sent
- 2 over to the Bureau. We have tried to be as forthcoming as
- 3 we possibly can be with all documents to the Bureau, and it
- 4 was my presumption and the normal procedure within my office
- 5 that upon receipt that document would have been immediately
- 6 duplicated and sent to the Bureau.
- 7 It was not done. That was outside of the
- 8 procedure that was set up specifically for this matter. And
- 9 I have not had the opportunity to due to preparation for
- trial and the time we are here to go back and find out why
- the heck that didn't happen by questioning the people inside
- my work room who should have performed that particular task.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Are there any other -- any other
- documents that you presently know of that haven't been
- 15 exchanged with the Bureau?
- 16 MR. SCHWANINGER: Not -- none that I am aware of
- 17 because the other documents that were in that particular
- 18 little stack were duplicates of things that had already been
- 19 sent over. That much I recognized. This is the only
- document I wasn't sure about. And frankly, we just figured
- 21 out through Exhibit 7, et cetera, when Judy was doing the
- 22 questioning, that there may be a problem with this.
- And that's the reason why we are trying to admit
- 24 it and hand it out as quickly as possible upon our
- 25 discovery. My apologizes to Judy, my apologies to the

- 1 Bureau, my apologies to the Court.
- I will be happy to tell you about the yell fest
- 3 I'm going to have back in my work room, but that doesn't
- 4 solve anything other than to say I'm disappointed with the
- fact that my people did not do exactly what they have done
- 6 every other time in this matter, which is immediately
- 7 duplicate and immediately send things to the Bureau.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: Now, who in your office is most
- 9 familiar with what we've called Exhibit 19?
- 10 MR. SCHWANINGER: Exhibit 19?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. That was Mr. Brasher's
- response to the Commission's letter of inquiry.
- MR. SCHWANINGER: Exhibit 19 would probably be Mr.
- 14 Higgs as to the actual document itself.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, why wasn't this mentioned
- in Exhibit 19? Do you know?
- 17 MR. HIGGS: I believe that in preparation of --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I'm talking about the original
- document which I'm, for convenience, calling Exhibit 19. It
- 20 wasn't Exhibit 19 then.
- 21 MR. HIGGS: I was not aware personally of the
- 22 existence of the durable power of attorney at the time
- 23 Exhibit 19 was prepared.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When did you find out about it?
- MR. HIGGS: Just recently, Your Honor.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Define recently.
- MR. HIGGS: Two days ago.
- MR. SCHWANINGER: Your Honor, we had asked Mr.
- 4 Brasher to supply us with all documents that he believed at
- 5 the time when Exhibit 19 was being assembled were what we
- 6 had asked for as we would go step by step on all the
- 7 document requests, and requests that from him as well as we
- 8 went along.
- 9 There may have been some confusion as to these
- documents just simply due to the legal nature of them. I
- don't have personal knowledge as to why we didn't receive
- this document until later, but I suspect it may have
- something to do with the fact that I believe he was focusing
- more on the documents arising out of the death of people
- 15 rather than documents that were executed during their life
- 16 at the time that he was being asked the questions. But
- 17 again, I'm just supposing.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, let's take 10 minutes.
- 19 And you know, you now know the existence of the document.
- You do with it what you wish. You can wait for Mr. Romney
- 21 to offer it. You can, you know, you can ignore it. You can
- 22 do whatever you what.
- But if it's offered and you need to examine on it,
- you will have extremely great leeway in doing so. That's if
- it's received; it might not be received.

- 1 MS. LANCASTER: I'm not going to offer it, Your
- 2 Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, I didn't think you would,
- 4 but I'm just telling you that, you know, I'm quite upset
- 5 about this as you can tell because the purpose of discovery
- is to not have surprises, and a document such as this that
- 7 frankly should have come in several years ago when you were
- 8 responding to the Commission's inquiry with respect to the
- 9 license of O. C. Brasher. And I think this prejudices the
- 10 Bureau's case greatly, and I don't know really right now
- 11 what I'm going to do with this. But since it's not being
- offered now, I don't have to worry about it.
- Okay, let's take 10 minutes.
- 14 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
- I want to ask a couple of questions because I
- 17 think I have lost track.
- 18 I think the original question that led to this
- 19 power of attorney thing was -- I think Ms. Lancaster asked
- you under what agreement did you manage the stations on
- 21 behalf of O. C.
- 22 Am I right about that?
- MS. LANCASTER: No, sir. I was asking about
- Exhibit 19, and I asked him regarding numbered paragraph
- 25 4(a) whether or not -- no, not 4(a).

JUDGE STEINBERG: You	were	on	six.
----------------------	------	----	------

- MS. LANCASTER: Six, I was on six, whether or not
- 3 the third paragraph of No. 6, whether or not he included O.
- 4 C. when he referred to that "all other licensees," whether
- 5 he was including O. C. in that. And he said yes, he was.
- And I went up, and I was going up to the first
- 7 paragraph of No. 6, at least my intention was, I don't know
- 8 if we got there, how exactly we got off on Exhibit No. 7
- 9 except that he went off -- Mr. Brasher went off and started
- telling me about his understanding was he had power of
- 11 attorney.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, first I think it was --
- let me ask. You testified, and if you didn't say this, tell
- me because my memory can be faulty.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: You testified that it was first
- 17 an oral understanding.
- THE WITNESS: Of the management agreement.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: With your father?
- THE WITNESS: With my father.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, so you first had an oral
- 22 understanding with your father --
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- that you would manage his
- 25 stations?

- 1 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And then later you mentioned the
- 3 power of attorney. Is that how this came up?
- I mean, please refresh my recollection because I
- 5 am trying to put things in context.
- 6 MR. ROMNEY: Yes, sir. He said there was first a
- 7 verbal understanding and then there is a written one
- 8 executed by the power of -- or something had to do with his
- 9 power of attorney and his ability to operate on his dad's
- 10 behalf.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and that's how --
- MR. ROMNEY: And then it went into Exhibit 7 --
- 13 JUDGE STEINBERG: Then that's how we got to
- 14 Exhibit 7.
- 15 MR. ROMNEY: -- to try to explain that, and that's
- 16 when I said Exhibit 7 may not be the operative document.
- 17 This probably is.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, "this" meaning --
- 19 MR. ROMNEY: The document I just handed to you.
- 20 JUDGE STEINBERG: -- the document you handed out.
- 21 Okay. I think I'll ask the rest of my questions later, but
- 22 I just -- I wanted to know the context in which this came
- up, at least to be sure in my head that I knew the context
- in which this came up so that I don't -- so that I can put
- everything in order, in place in my head.

- Okay, back to Ms. Lancaster.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Okay, back to Exhibit 7, Mr. Brasher, did you
- 4 produce these records in response to Commission inquiries
- 5 regarding your father's death?
- A I would -- if this was furnished, it was probably
- 7 due to inquiry about Ruth Bearden's license, I assume. I do
- 8 not know.
- 9 Q This is -- Exhibit No. 7 is the probate court
- 10 record -- probate court file pertaining to O. C. Brasher.
- 11 A Yes. How this came to you, to your office, I
- 12 assume it could be from inquiry about Ruth Bearden's
- 13 license. I don't -- nothing here tells me, Judy, where this
- 14 thing --
- 15 O Okay.,
- 16 A -- generated from.
- 17 O Hold on a second.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When you say "nothing here," you
- 19 pointed to Exhibit 7?
- THE WITNESS: Exhibit 7, yes, sir. It's just --
- 21 what created No. 7.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 23 Q You remember giving a deposition in November; is
- 24 that correct?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am.

- MS. LANCASTER: Hold on. I have to find it.

 (Pause.)
- 3 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Okay, do you remember on December -- I would direct your attention to December the 5th deposition.
- 6 (Pause.)
- 7 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q I'm going to show you a copy of your December the 9 5th -- transcript from your December the 5th deposition and 10 ask that you look at page 233.
- 11 MR. ROMNEY: 233?
- MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
- MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We note for the record that
- 14 we got the pages numbers corrected in the Ron Brasher
- deposition. The first day was numbered like one through 80
- 16 something, and then both the second and the third days
- 17 started at 84 and going forward. Then went one through 82
- 18 on the first day.
- 19 MS. LANCASTER: Okay, look at page 95.
- MR. ROMNEY: Ninety-five?
- MS. LANCASTER: If you got the copy that I
- originally got, it would be page 95, and the corrected pages
- 23 it's going to be page 235, so we have both page references.
- 24 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q The very top of the page, Mr. Brasher, I'm asking
 Heritage Reporting Corporation
 (202) 628-4888

- about what had been marked as the Exhibit 86 of the
- 2 deposition exhibits. Exhibit 86 of the deposition exhibits
- 3 is what is now EB Exhibit No. 7. Right.
- 4 MS. LANCASTER: Will you all stipulate to that?
- 5 MR. ROMNEY: Yes, we will.
- 6 (Witness reviews document.)
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: I stand corrected.
- 8 MR. ROMNEY: Did I stipulate to something that was
- 9 wrong?
- MS. LANCASTER: Well, Deposition Exhibit No. 86 is
- 11 not the -- is not the complete probate file. It only
- 12 contains portions --
- MR. ROMNEY: Okay.
- 14 MS. LANCASTER: -- of what is contained in
- Exhibit, it would be Exhibit No. 7. Deposition Exhibit No.
- 16 86 contained a summons -- I'll tell you what we need to do
- is probably make a copy of this and put it in.
- MR. ROMNEY: And substitute it?
- MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No.
- MS. LANCASTER: No, put it in since there is some
- 21 confusion now as to how it was offered since your client has
- indicated he doesn't know how we got it.
- MR. ROMNEY: Well, if I could ask the Court a
- 24 question, perhaps it -- is it my understanding -- is my
- understanding correct that what is before is Exhibit 7, was

- that obtained by the Bureau from a request to the court --
- 2 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No.
- MR. ROMNEY: -- records in the probate court in
- 4 Dallas?
- 5 MS. LANCASTER: We received it through discovery.
- 6 MR. ROMNEY: From --
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: From your office.
- 8 MR. ROMNEY: -- our offices?
- 9 MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
- 10 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We sent Higgs a follow-up
- 11 letter after the deposition where --
- MR. ROMNEY: You asked for probate records.
- MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: -- you had promised to
- provide us what was -- what did in fact have a power of
- attorney because all there was was an application.
- MR. ROMNEY: Well, I think you asked -- I mean,
- 17 that --
- 18 MS. LANCASTER: We also --
- MR. ROMNEY: I don't mean to belabor the issues on
- 20 the production or lack of production of this particular
- 21 power of attorney, but if the request was for probate
- 22 records, this is probate records. This is not probate
- records, the declaration of the durable power of attorney,
- that's not going to be found in the probate records. I
- don't know what all you asked for.

- 1 MS. LANCASTER: The request was for any documents
- 2 that he didn't have at that time, as I remember it. But we
- do have our own copy that we received also of the probate
- 4 records, but we did not put it in as an exhibit. We put in
- 5 the exhibit that we received from --
- 6 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Mr. Higgs.
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: From Mr. Higgs.
- 8 MR. ROMNEY: Then you understand Exhibit 7 to be a
- 9 true and correct copy of the probate records that were on
- 10 file?
- MS. LANCASTER: Yes, but I also understood that to
- be all the records relied upon by Mr. Brasher in stating
- that he was in charge of the estate of O. C. Brasher. That
- was all that was offered to us, and all we were told about
- 15 as the basis for his belief.
- Do you understand my response?
- MR. ROMNEY: Well, I mean, yeah, and I don't mean
- 18 to quibble with the words here. But if you asked for the
- 19 records regarding the state of O. C. Brasher, that's one
- 20 thing. The durable power of attorney may or may not be
- 21 associated with the estate of that, so I don't know.
- 22 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I don't know that we
- want to argue this back and forth.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't we hold on, and what
- are we waiting for?